



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 09/757,348 | 01/08/2001 | Guojin Liang | 60012-0011 | 8149 |
| 20575 | 7590 | 12/28/2004 | EXAMINER | |
| MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM PC 1030 SW MORRISON STREET PORTLAND, OR 97205 | | | WILLIAMS, LAWRENCE B | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2634 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/757,348 | LIANG, GUOJIN | |
| | Examiner Lawrence B Williams | Art Unit 2634 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 September 2004.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informalities: Applicant has written claim 16 as dependent upon claim 1. Examiner believes this dependency to be in error in view of the preceding claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-10, 16, 21-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Self et al. (US Patent 5, 623,644).

(1) With regard to claim 1, Self et al. discloses in Fig. 3, a receiver comprising a latching mechanism (360), coupled to receive a data stream comprising a plurality of data units, each data unit occupying a data period, said latching mechanism latching said data units in response to latching control signals; a signal generator (330) coupled to receive a

reference signal (304), said signal generator generating said latching control signals based upon said reference signal; and an adjustable delay element (320) coupled to receive a clock signal (302) and delaying said clock signal by a variable delay to derive said reference signal, said reference signal so derived causing said signal generator to generate said latching control signals such that each of said latching control signals coincides approximately with a midpoint of a data period (col. 7, lines 39-57).

(2) With regard to claim 2, Self et al. also discloses the receiver of claim 1, wherein said clock signal is synchronized with said data stream but is not necessarily aligned therewith (col. 7, lines 14-23).

(3) With regard to claim 3, Self et al. also discloses the receiver of claim 1, wherein said variable delay of said adjustable delay element is greater than or equal to said data period (col. 8, lines 15-27).

(4) With regard to claim 4, Self et al. also discloses the receiver of claim 1, wherein there is no more than one of said latching control signals per data period (col. 8, lines 20-26).

(5) With regard to claim 5, Self et al. also discloses in Fig. 4, the receiver of claim 1, further comprising: a delay control mechanism (403, 405, 406), said delay control mechanism adjusting said variable delay imposed by said adjustable delay element to alter said reference signal, said reference signal being so altered to cause said signal generator to generate said latching control signals such that each of said latching control signals coincides more closely with a midpoint of a data period (col. 7, lines 42-50).

(6) With regard to claim 6, Self et al. also discloses wherein said delay control mechanism alters said reference signal by causing said reference signal to coincide more closely with a midpoint of a data period (col. 7, lines 42-50).

(7) With regard to claim 7, Self et al. also discloses, wherein at least one of said latching control signals is delayed relative to said reference signal by substantially a data period (col. 7, lines 54-57).

(8) With regard to claim 8, Self et al. also discloses wherein each of said latching control signals is temporally separated from another latching control signal by substantially one data period (col. 7, lines 54-57).

(9) With regard to claim 9, claim 9 inherits all limitations of claim 8 above. Furthermore, Self et al. also discloses wherein said signal generator comprises a delay locked loop (320).

(10) With regard to claim 10, claim 10 inherits all limitations of claim 5, above. Furthermore, Self et al. also discloses wherein said delay control mechanism comprises: a detection mechanism (404), said detection mechanism receiving an indication of how closely each of said latching control signals coincides with a midpoint of a data period, and providing an adjustment signal to adjust said variable delay of said adjustable delay element to alter said reference signal to cause each of said latching control signals to coincide more closely with a midpoint of a data period (col. 7, lines 54-57).

(11) With regard to claim 16, Self et al. also discloses wherein the signal generator comprises a delay locked loop (320).

(12) With regard to claim 21, Self et al. also discloses a receiver, comprising: a latching mechanism (360), coupled to receive a data stream comprising a plurality of data

units, each data unit occupying a data period, said latching mechanism latching said data units in response to latching control signals; and a signal generator (330) coupled to receive a reference signal (304) which is not aligned with said data stream (col. 7, lines 14-23), said signal generator generating said latching control signals based upon said reference signal such that each of said latching control signals coincides approximately with a midpoint of a data period (col. 7, lines 39-57).

(13) With regard to claim 22, claim 22 inherits all limitations of claim 21 above. Furthermore, Self et al. discloses wherein there is no more than one of said latching control signals per data period (col. 8, lines 20-26).

(14) With regard to claim 23, claim 23 inherits all limitations of claim 21 above. Furthermore, Self et al. also discloses wherein said reference signal coincides approximately with a midpoint of a data period (col. 7, lines 38-56).

(15) With regard to claim 24, Self et al. also discloses The receiver of claim 23, wherein said reference signal is derived by delaying a clock signal, which is synchronized and aligned with said data stream, by a delay which is approximately equal to $(X + .5)$ times said data period where X is an integer greater than or equal to 1 (col. 7, lines 52-57).

(16) With regard to claim 25, claim 25 inherits all limitations of claim 23. Furthermore, Self et al. also discloses, wherein at least one of said latching control signals is delayed relative to said reference signal by substantially one data period (col. 7, lines 52-57).

(17) With regard to claim 26, claim 26 inherits all limitations of claim 25. Furthermore, Self et al. also discloses, wherein each of said latching control signals is

temporally separated from another latching control signal by substantially one data period (col. 7, lines 54-57).

(18) With regard to claim 27, claim 27 inherits all limitations of claim 26 above. Furthermore, Self et al. also discloses wherein said signal generator comprises a delay locked loop (320).

(19) With regard to claim 28, Self et al. also discloses a receiver comprising: a latching mechanism (360), coupled to receive a data stream comprising a plurality of data units, each data unit occupying a data period, said latching mechanism latching said data units in response to latching control signals; and a delay locked loop (320) coupled to receive a reference signal (320), said delay locked loop generating said latching control signals based upon said reference signal such that each of said latching control signals coincides approximately with a midpoint of a data period (col. 7, lines 39-57).

(20) With regard to claim 29, claim 29 inherits all limitations of claim 28 above. Furthermore, Self et al. discloses wherein there is no more than one of said latching control signals per data period (col. 8, lines 20-26).

(21) With regard to claim 30, claim 30 inherits all limitations of claim 28 above. Furthermore, Self et al. also discloses wherein said reference signal is not aligned with said data stream (col. 7, lines 14-23).

(22) With regard to claim 31, claim 31 inherits all limitations of claim 21 above. Furthermore, Self et al. also discloses wherein said reference signal coincides approximately with a midpoint of a data period (col. 7, lines 38-56).

(23) With regard to claim 32, claim 32 inherits all limitations of claim 31 above. Furthermore, Self et al. also discloses wherein said reference signal is derived by

delaying a clock signal, which is synchronized and aligned with said data stream, by a delay which is approximately equal to $(X + .5)$ times said data period where X is an integer greater than or equal to 1 (col. 7, lines 52-57).

(24) With regard to claim 33, claim 33 inherits all limitations of claim 31 above. Furthermore, Self et al. also discloses, wherein at least one of said latching control signals is delayed relative to said reference signal by substantially one data period (col. 7, lines 52-57).

(25) With regard to claim 34, claim 34 inherits all limitations of claim 33 above. Furthermore, Self et al. also discloses, wherein each of said latching control signals is temporally separated from another latching control signal by substantially one data period (col. 7, lines 54-57).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Self et al. as applied to claim 10 above in view of Tanaka et al. (US Patent 5,794,020).

(1) With regard to claim 11, claim 11 inherits all limitations of claim 10 above. As noted above, Self et al. discloses all limitations of claim 10 above. Self et al. does not however disclose wherein said delay control mechanism further comprises: a fixed delay element coupled to receive at least one of said latching control signals and providing a

delayed latching signal; and a latching component coupled to receive said data stream, said latching component latching one of said data units in said data stream in response to said delayed latching signal.

However Tanaka et al. teaches in Fig. 3, a data transfer apparatus wherein a delay control mechanism further comprises: a fixed delay element (3,4) coupled to receive at least one of the latching control signals and providing a delayed latching signal; and a latching component (L0) coupled to receive said data stream, said latching component latching one of the data units in said data stream in response to said delayed latching signal (col. 6, lines 42-65).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to apply the method as taught by Tanaka et al. to modify the invention of Self et al. as a method of increasing the reliability of data transfer at high frequencies (col. 2, lines 58-67).

(2) With regard to claim 12, claim 12 inherits all limitations of claim 11 above. Furthermore, Tanaka et al. also discloses in Fig. 9, wherein said detection mechanism receives said one data unit from said latching component, and compares said one data unit with a plurality of data units received from said latching mechanism to determine how closely each of said latching control signals coincides with a midpoint of a data period (claim 18).

(3) With regard to claim 13, Tanaka et al. also discloses wherein the fixed delay element has a fixed delay greater than the data period (col. 6, lines 18-65).

(4) With regard to claim 14, claim 14 inherits all limitations of claim 13 above. Furthermore, Self et al. also discloses wherein said fixed delay is approximately equal to

(X + .5) times said data period where X is an integer greater than or equal to 1 (col. 6, lines 18-65).

(5) With regard to claim 15, Self et al. also discloses in Fig. 4, wherein said detection mechanism comprises a phase detector (404).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

a.) Johnson et al. discloses in US Patent 6,434,081 B1 Calibration Techniques For Memory Devices.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence B Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-3037. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 571-272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.



AMANDA T. LE
PRIMARY EXAMINER